# Samuel Sultan CIS Spring 2021 CISC3400 R01- Java Programming No. of Students: 28

## Learning/Academic Value

	Instructor						
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max		
You found the class intellectually challenging and stimulating	5	8.4	0.9	7.0	9.0		
You learned something that you considered valuable	5	8.4	0.9	7.0	9.0		
Your interest in this subject has increased as a consequence of this class	5	8.4	0.9	7.0	9.0		
You have learned and understood the subject materials in this class	5	7.6	0.9	7.0	9.0		

### Instructor Enthusiasm

		Inst	tructor	uctor							
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max						
Instructor was enthusiastic about teaching the class	5	8.2	1.8	5.0	9.0						
Instructor was dynamic and energetic in conducting the class	5	8.2	1.8	5.0	9.0						
Instructor enhanced presentations with the use of humor	5	8.2	1.8	5.0	9.0						
Instructor's style of presentation held your interest during class	5	7.8	1.8	5.0	9.0						

### Organization/Clarity

	Instructor						
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max		
Instructor's explanations were clear	5	7.8	1.6	5.0	9.0		
Class materials were well prepared and carefully explained	5	8.0	1.0	7.0	9.0		
Proposed objectives agreed with those actually taught, so you knew where the class was going	5	8.0	1.0	7.0	9.0		
Instructor gave presentations that facilitated taking notes	5	7.4	1.5	5.0	9.0		

### **Group Interaction**

	Instructor						
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max		
Students were encouraged to participate in class discussions	5	8.4	0.9	7.0	9.0		
Students were invited to share their ideas and knowledge	5	8.4	0.9	7.0	9.0		
Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	5	8.2	0.8	7.0	9.0		
Students were encouraged to express their own ideas and/or question the instructor	5	8.4	0.9	7.0	9.0		

### **Individual Rapport**

	Instructor						
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max		
Instructor was friendly towards individual students	5	7.4	1.5	5.0	9.0		
Instructor had a genuine interest in individual students	5	7.6	1.7	5.0	9.0		
Instructors made students feel welcome in seeking help/advice in or outside of class	5	7.8	1.8	5.0	9.0		
Instructor was adequately accessible to students during office hours or after class	5	7.6	1.7	5.0	9.0		

## **Breadth of Coverage**

	Instructor				
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max
Instructor contrasted the implications of various theories	5	7.6	1.7	5.0	9.0
Instructor presented the background or origin of ideas/concepts developed in class	5	7.6	1.7	5.0	9.0
Instructor presented points of view other than his/her own when appropriate	5	7.8	1.6	5.0	9.0
Instructor adequately discussed current developments in the field	5	7.8	1.6	5.0	9.0

### **Examinations/Graded Materials/Assignments**

	Instructor						
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max		
Feedback on examinations/graded materials was valuable	5	8.4	0.9	7.0	9.0		
Methods of evaluating student work were fair and appropriate	5	8.0	1.0	7.0	9.0		
Examinations/graded materials tested class content as emphasized by the instructor	5	8.2	0.8	7.0	9.0		
Required readings/texts were valuable	5	7.8	1.3	6.0	9.0		
Readings, homework, etc. contributed to appreciation and understanding of the subject	5	8.4	0.9	7.0	9.0		

#### **OVERALL RATINGS**

	Instructor						
	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max		
Subject difficulty, relative to other subjects was	5	7.4	1.1	6.0	9.0		
Subject workload, relative to other subjects was	5	6.8	1.6	5.0	9.0		
Subject pace was	5	5.6	0.5	5.0	6.0		
Overall, how does this class compare with other classes at Fordham University?	5	8.0	1.0	7.0	9.0		
Overall, how does this instructor compare with other instructors at Fordham University?	5	7.6	1.7	5.0	9.0		

Are there any other aspects of this course that you think were very positive (e.g. particular readings, assignments, projects, topics studied) and why?

#### Comments

Everything was clearly laid out, readings corresponded to assignments and lectures were on the readings. Additional resources were provided by the professor on his website which were very helpful in understanding as well.

Professor Sultan had his own organized website where he clearly laid out the assignments and notes for each subject

Are there any other aspects of this course you would change in future offerings (e.g. particular readings, assignments, projects, topics studied) and how?

#### Comments

no

What aspects of the instructor's teaching style did you find very positive and why?

#### Comments

Professor Sultan was very energetic and explained most topics very well.

Clear about his expectations and does the best he can to explain everything that can be explained about a concept. Otherwise, the class website, notes and textbook are very helpful. Very organized and meticulous.

It was very interactive

What specific advice would you give to help your instructor improve your learning experience in this course, and why?

#### Comments

Excessive yelling

Specified office hours other than just appointments

maybe have more conceptual worksheets to help prepare us for midterm/final since a lot of it is conceptual