Samuel Sultan CIS Fall 2024 CISC5040 L01- JavaScript No. of Students: 12

Learning/Academic Value

	Instructor						
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max		
You found the class intellectually challenging and stimulating	3	7.7	0.6	7.0	8.0		
You learned something that you considered valuable	3	8.0	1.0	7.0	9.0		
Your interest in this subject has increased as a consequence of this class	3	7.7	0.6	7.0	8.0		
You have learned and understood the subject materials in this class	3	8.0	1.0	7.0	9.0		

Instructor Enthusiasm

	Instructor						
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max		
Instructor was enthusiastic about teaching the class	3	8.0	1.0	7.0	9.0		
Instructor was dynamic and energetic in conducting the class	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		
Instructor enhanced presentations with the use of humor	3	9.0	0.0	9.0	9.0		
Instructor's style of presentation held your interest during class	3	8.3	0.6	8.0	9.0		

Organization/Clarity

	Instructor						
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max		
Instructor's explanations were clear	3	7.7	1.2	7.0	9.0		
Class materials were well prepared and carefully explained	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		
Proposed objectives agreed with those actually taught, so you knew where the class was going	3	8.3	1.2	7.0	9.0		
Instructor gave presentations that facilitated taking notes	3	8.0	1.0	7.0	9.0		

Group Interaction

	Instructor						
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max		
Students were encouraged to participate in class discussions	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		
Students were invited to share their ideas and knowledge	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		
Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	3	8.3	0.6	8.0	9.0		
Students were encouraged to express their own ideas and/or question the instructor	3	8.3	0.6	8.0	9.0		

Individual Rapport

	Instructor						
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max		
Instructor was friendly towards individual students	3	8.0	1.0	7.0	9.0		
Instructor had a genuine interest in individual students	3	7.7	1.2	7.0	9.0		
Instructors made students feel welcome in seeking help/advice in or outside of class	3	8.0	0.0	8.0	8.0		
Instructor was adequately accessible to students during office hours or after class	3	7.7	1.2	7.0	9.0		

Breadth of Coverage

	Instructor				
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max
Instructor contrasted the implications of various theories	3	7.7	0.6	7.0	8.0
Instructor presented the background or origin of ideas/concepts developed in class	3	7.7	1.2	7.0	9.0
Instructor presented points of view other than his/her own when appropriate	3	7.3	0.6	7.0	8.0
Instructor adequately discussed current developments in the field	3	8.3	1.2	7.0	9.0

Examinations/Graded Materials/Assignments

	Instructor						
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max		
Feedback on examinations/graded materials was valuable	3	8.3	1.2	7.0	9.0		
Methods of evaluating student work were fair and appropriate	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		
Examinations/graded materials tested class content as emphasized by the instructor	3	8.3	1.2	7.0	9.0		
Required readings/texts were valuable	3	7.7	1.5	6.0	9.0		
Readings, homework, etc. contributed to appreciation and understanding of the subject	3	8.3	1.2	7.0	9.0		

OVERALL RATINGS

	Instructor						
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max		
Subject difficulty, relative to other subjects was	3	6.0	1.0	5.0	7.0		
Subject workload, relative to other subjects was	3	5.3	1.5	4.0	7.0		
Subject pace was	3	5.7	0.6	5.0	6.0		
Overall, how does this class compare with other classes at Fordham University?	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		
Overall, how does this instructor compare with other instructors at Fordham University?	3	7.7	1.2	7.0	9.0		