Samuel Sultan CIS Spring 2023 CISC3400 R01- Java Programming No. of Students: 20

Learning/Academic Value

	Instructor						
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max		
You found the class intellectually challenging and stimulating	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		
You learned something that you considered valuable	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		
Your interest in this subject has increased as a consequence of this class	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		
You have learned and understood the subject materials in this class	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		

Instructor Enthusiasm

	Instructor						
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max		
Instructor was enthusiastic about teaching the class	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		
Instructor was dynamic and energetic in conducting the class	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		
Instructor enhanced presentations with the use of humor	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		
Instructor's style of presentation held your interest during class	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		

Organization/Clarity

	Instructor						
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max		
Instructor's explanations were clear	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		
Class materials were well prepared and carefully explained	3	9.0	0.0	9.0	9.0		
Proposed objectives agreed with those actually taught, so you knew where the class was going	3	9.0	0.0	9.0	9.0		
Instructor gave presentations that facilitated taking notes	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		

Group Interaction

	Instructor						
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max		
Students were encouraged to participate in class discussions	3	8.3	0.6	8.0	9.0		
Students were invited to share their ideas and knowledge	3	8.3	0.6	8.0	9.0		
Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	3	8.3	0.6	8.0	9.0		
Students were encouraged to express their own ideas and/or question the instructor	3	8.3	0.6	8.0	9.0		

Individual Rapport

	Instructor						
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max		
Instructor was friendly towards individual students	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		
Instructor had a genuine interest in individual students	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		
Instructors made students feel welcome in seeking help/advice in or outside of class	3	8.0	1.0	7.0	9.0		
Instructor was adequately accessible to students during office hours or after class	3	7.3	2.1	5.0	9.0		

Breadth of Coverage

	Instructor				
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max
Instructor contrasted the implications of various theories	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0
Instructor presented the background or origin of ideas/concepts developed in class	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0
Instructor presented points of view other than his/her own when appropriate	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0
Instructor adequately discussed current developments in the field	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0

Examinations/Graded Materials/Assignments

	Instructor						
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max		
Feedback on examinations/graded materials was valuable	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		
Methods of evaluating student work were fair and appropriate	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		
Examinations/graded materials tested class content as emphasized by the instructor	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		
Required readings/texts were valuable	3	8.0	1.0	7.0	9.0		
Readings, homework, etc. contributed to appreciation and understanding of the subject	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		

OVERALL RATINGS

	Instructor						
Question	Response Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max		
Subject difficulty, relative to other subjects was	3	7.7	1.5	6.0	9.0		
Subject workload, relative to other subjects was	3	7.7	1.2	7.0	9.0		
Subject pace was	3	7.0	2.0	5.0	9.0		
Overall, how does this class compare with other classes at Fordham University?	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		
Overall, how does this instructor compare with other instructors at Fordham University?	3	8.7	0.6	8.0	9.0		

Are there any other aspects of this course that you think were very positive (e.g. particular readings, assignments, projects, topics studied) and why?

Comments

The notes that the professor provided were incredibly helpful and detailed. If I ever felt confused or lost while doing homework, I went back to the notes to look at examples and thorough explanations.

object orientation was much more clear and understandable in this class than it's been in others.

Another thing I appreciated was their use of different teaching methods to accommodate different learning styles. Whether it was group work, individual assignments, or interactive discussions, they ensured that everyone had the opportunity to learn in a way that worked best for them.

Are there any other aspects of this course you would change in future offerings (e.g. particular readings, assignments, projects, topics studied) and how?

Comments

There's nothing that I would change in terms of the assignments. All the homework and labs seemed fair in relation to the topic we were learning.

n/a

no

What aspects of the instructor's teaching style did you find very positive and why?

Comments

The professor really explained things in great detail. I really like when he would go through coded examples step by step and clearly explain each segment of the code.

knowledge, enthusiasm, humor. detailed explanations that made sense. thorough but not rambling, concise but not rushed. helped to keep focus, and was perfect for note-taking

was always approachable and friendly, creating a welcoming and comfortable learning environment. They were genuinely invested in the success of their students and provided personalized feedback to help us improve.

What specific advice would you give to help your instructor improve your learning experience in this course, and why?

Comments

There's nothing in particular that I would advise. The only thing I would say is to possibly slow down the pace a little. Towards the end of the course, I felt that things were moving very quickly and the professor was trying to cover a lot of topics at once.

n/a